1	AMANDA LISKAMM	LIODO
2	Director, Consumer Protection Bran MANU J. SEBASTIAN	cc: USPO
	SHEILA BERMAN	
3	Trial Attorneys	
4	Consumer Protection Branch	
4	U.S. Department of Justice 450 Fifth Street NW, Suite 64	100
5	Washington, DC 20001	
	Telephone: (202) 514-0515	
6	Facsimile: (202) 514-8742	
-	E-mail: Manu.J.Sebastian@usdoj.g	gov
7		
8	E. MARTIN ESTRADA	
	United States Attorney	
9	MACK E. JENKINS	
1.0	Assistant United States Attorney	
10	Chief, Criminal Division VALERIE MAKAREWICZ (Cal. Bar No. 2	229637)
11	Assistant United States Attorney Major Frauds Section 1100 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012	
12		
13		
13	Telephone: (213) 894-0756	
14	Facsimile: (213) 894-6269	
	E-mail: Valerie.Makarewic	z@usdoj.gov
15		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
17		
1.0	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
18	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	FOR THE CENTRAL DI	SIRICI OF CALIFORNIA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 23-CR-00021-JGB
20		
0.1	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND
21	v.	FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL
22	· ·	ACT
	JASON EDWARD THOMAS CARDIFF,	
23		2/2/2
24	Defendant.	CURRENT TRIAL DATE: 9/3/24
∠4		NEW TRIAL DATE: 2/4/25 @ 9:00 AM STATUS CONFERENCE: 1/13/25 @ 2 PM
25		511105 50M1 DIMBINOD. 1,15/25 6 2 IFI
26		
27		
<u>- '</u>	II	

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on August 22, 2024. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- The trial in this matter is continued from September 3,
 to February 4, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The status conference hearing is continued to January 13, 2025, at 2:00 p.m.
- 2. The time period of September 3, 2024, to February 4, 2025 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).
- 3. Defendant shall appear in Courtroom 1 of the George E. Brown, Jr. Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 3470

Twelfth Street, Riverside, CA 92501-3801 on February 4, 2025 at 9:00 a.m.

4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

August 23, 2024
DATE

HONORABLE JESUS G. BERNAL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

/ 9 /

Manu J. Sebastian Trial Attorney

Consumer Protection Branch

U.S. Department of Justice